

HB 323

County Resource Plans

The What,
The Why &
The How

HB 323

Amends County General
Plan Provisions of
Utah Code 17-27a-401

HB 323

Requires the County
General Plan to
Contain a Resource
Management Plan
(RMP)

RMP to Address 27 Areas

Extractive Resources

Energy

Mining

Mineral Resources

Agricultural Resources

Agriculture in General
Livestock & Grazing
Noxious Weeds
Predator Control
Wetlands
Riparian Areas

Wildlife

Wildlife in General

Threatened &
Endangered Species

Water Quality & Hydrology

Water/Hydrology In General

Water Rights

Irrigation

Ditches & Canals

Flood Plains & River Terraces

Forestry & Fire

Forest Management

Fire Management

Recreation & Tourism

Recreation & Tourism in
General

Fisheries

Special Designations

Wilderness

Wild & Scenic Rivers

Cultural, Historical,
Geological &
Paleontological
Resources

Law Enforcement

Transportation

Land Access

Catch All

Land Use in General

Economic Considerations
in General

For Each of the 27 Areas

Establish Relevant **Findings**

Establish Clearly Defined **Objectives**

Outline General **Policies & Guidelines** to Accomplish
Objectives

Proximate Counties

Identify **Common Interests**
Shared with Other Proximate
Counties

Coordinate Consistent Policies
With Other Proximate Counties

Deadlines

July 1, 2016 for Planning
& Zoning Commission

January 1, 2017 for full
Commission or Council

Why HB 323?

National
Environmental Policy
Act
(NEPA)

Council on
Environmental
Quality
(CEQ Regs)

U.S. Department of
Interior
(DOI Regs)

U.S. Forest Service

(USFS Statutes &
Regs)

U.S. Bureau of Land Management

(BLM Statutes & Regs)

National Park Service
(NPS)

U.S. Fish & Wildlife
Service (FWS)

Recent Memorandum of Understanding With USFS

(Forest Service MOU)

Process

Funding

-Legislative

-CIB

Committees?

Questions?